



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/079,010	02/19/2002	Stephen C. Vincent	P04860US1	6367
22885 7	1590 08/21/2002			
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
801 GRAND AVENUE SUITE 3200			EASTHOM, KARL D	
DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	

DATE MAILED: 08/21/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/079,010 Applicant(s)

Vincent

Examiner

Karl Easthom

Art Unit 2832

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the co	ver sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	D5 0 140NTH/0\ FD014
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPI	RE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,136 (a). In no event, how	vever, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory management.	inimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application	_
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communic earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	
1) X. Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 3, 2002	<u> </u>
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is nor	a-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay!	
Disposition of Claims	
4) X: Claim(s) 7-15	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) 7-15	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9): The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10): The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s)	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Off	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	ceived.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	ceived in Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau (PCT F	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a). The translation of the foreign language provisional applicat	ion has been received.
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)	
	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	r.

Application/Control Number: 10/079010

Art Unit: 2832

a 🖫 🚐 🚾

- 1. Claims 7 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: The first three lines of the clean copy of claim 7 is missing. Appropriate correction is required. Claim 16 has been renumbered as claim 15 to reflect the correct total original number of claims.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 7-10 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holmes '570. Holmes discloses the claimed invention at Figs. 2-3 with tantalum pentoxide layer 32, substrate 22, terminations 26,28 and nichrome film 30. In claim 12, CVD or evaporation is disclosed, rendering the product by process nondistinct where a thin film is formed.
- 4. Claims 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wienand et al. Wienand discloses the claimed invention at Fig.54 with metal. film 1, and tantalum pentoxide layers 3, 10 see Table 2, example 10.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/079010

to form the films by the claimed process.

Art Unit: 2832

- 6. Claims 11, 12, and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holmes '570 in view of Schauer or Wienand et al. Holmes discloses the claimed invention as noted above except the overlayer being tantalum oxide. Holmes discloses an overlayer 34 for environmental protection. Schauer discloses that it is typical to employ tantalum oxide in resistors for use as a protective film to protect against atmospheric conditions, col. 1, lines 15-20. Wienand discloses using two layers of tantalum oxide to prevent diffusion and for passivation par 23-25]. It would have been obvious to employ the overlayer of Holmes with another tantalum oxide overlayer where Schauer or Wienand suggest using same for environmental protection, as a diffusion barrier, or for passivation.. As an alternative to claim 12, Schauer discloses employing sputtering in order to form films (abstract), while Holmes discloses at col. 1, lines 5-25 that the normal way of forming films is by sputtering or evaporation, so that it would have been obvious
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Easthom whose telephone number is (703)308-3306. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad, can be reached on (703)308-7619. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7722. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KARL D. EASTHOM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3